# NUCLEON PARTON DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS FROM BOOSTED CORRELATORS IN CG

Jinchen He



#### **GHP** Meeting

2025/03





1



#### Introduction

- ✦ Parton Physics & PDFs
- ✦ Lattice QCD Calculations of PDFs

#### Methodology

- ✦ LaMET
- Coulomb Gauge (CG) Method

#### Lattice Calculation with the CG Method

- + Lattice Matrix Elements
- ✦ Renormalization
- Unpolarized and Helicity Nucleon PDFs

#### Summary



### Visible Universe

- Only 5% of the universe is visible. 0
- 0





Many experiments have been designed to probe the internal structure of nucleons. 0



#### HERA







Spergel, David N. "The dark side of cosmology: Dark matter and dark energy." Science 347.6226 (2015): 1100-1102.

#### The visible universe is made up of protons and neutrons, the inner structure of nucleons are sophisticated if we step closer.



© 2012 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

electrons

P.S. The list of experiments here is not complete.

EIC

Cr. CERN

Cr. BNL





## **Parton Physics**

- **Our knowledge on proton is still limited:** 0
  - Spin, mass ... 0
  - How to describe a relativistic moving strong-coupled bound state? 0
- The language from Feynman: Parton Model in the infinite momentum frame 0
  - Quarks and gluons (partons) are ``frozen" in the transverse plane; 0
  - **During a hard collision, the struck parton appears like a free particle.** 0



Cr. Dave Gaskell

#### The many faces of the proton

QCD bound state of quarks and gluons





# Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

• Unpolarized PDF  $f(x, \mu)$ : probability distribution

$$i = u, d, c, s, t, b$$

$$xP \rightarrow P$$

$$i = g$$

**Helicity PDF**  $g(x, \mu)$ : parton contribution to the hadron spin 0



**Transversity PDF**  $h_1(x, \mu)$ : parton contribution to the 0 transverse polarization of hadron





## Global Analysis of PDFs

Since PDFs are universal and useful in scattering processes, many efforts have been spent in extracting PDFs from 0 experimental data.



T. J. Hou, et al., Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021)

#### **Parameterization form as prior of fit**

 $f_i(x, Q_0) = a_0 x^{a_1 - 1} (1 - x)^{a_2} P_i(y; a_3, a_4, \dots)$ 

![](_page_5_Figure_6.jpeg)

R. D. Ball, et al. [NNPDF], Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022)

 $xf_k(x, Q_0; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = A_k x^{1-\alpha_k} (1-x)^{\beta_k} NN_k(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ 

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Lattice QCD Calculation of PDFs

- As a first-principle non-perturbative method, Lattice QCD provides independent predictions of PDFs. 0
  - **Mellin Moments** 0
    - Up to  $\langle x^3 \rangle$ C. Alexandrou, et al., Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015); G. S. Bali, et al., Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018); ...
    - **Smeared operators for higher moments** Ο
    - **Gradient Flow for higher moments** 0
  - Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) (quasi-PDF) 0
  - **Short Distance Expansion** 0
    - **Pseudo PDF / Ioffe-time distribution** 0
    - **Current-current correlator** 0
  - **Operator Product Expansion (OPE)** 0
    - **Compton amplitude** 0
    - **Heavy-quark Operator Product Expansion (HOPE)**
  - Hadronic Tensor 0 K. F. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000); K. F. Liu, and S. J. Dong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994); ...

Z. Davoudi, M. J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012); ...

A. Shindler, Phys. Rev.D 110 (2024); A. Francis, et al., PoS LATTICE2024, 336 (2025); ...

X. Ji, Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013); X. Ji, et al., Rev.Mod.Phys. 93 (2021); X. Gao, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022); ...

A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017); C. Alexandrou, et al., Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018); ...

V. M. Braun, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 685 (2004); V. M. Braun, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 55 (2008); R. S. Sufian, et al., Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020); ...

A. J. Chambers, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017); M. Gockeler, et al. [QCDSF], Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004); ...

W. Detmold, and C. J. David Lin, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006); W. Detmold, et al. [HOPE], Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022); ...

# Large-Momentum Effective Theory(LaMET)

PDF is defined from a light-cone correlator in a hadron, which is Lorentz invariant. 0

$$f_{\Gamma}(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda x} \frac{1}{2P^{+}} \left\langle P \left| \bar{\psi} \left( \xi^{-} \right) W \left( \xi^{-} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

0

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

**LaMET enables us to obtain the precision-controlled x-distribution of PDFs in**  $x \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ . 0

**Pert. matching kernel** 

$$f(x,\mu) = C\left(\frac{y}{x},\frac{P^z}{\mu}\right) \otimes \tilde{f}\left(\frac{y}{x},\frac{P^z}{\mu}\right)$$

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Nucleon PDFs from LaMET

#### In recent years, a lot of improvements of renormalization and matching has been developed in LaMET; 0

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 0 systematics from:
  - Hadron momentum is not large enough; 0
  - **Excited-state contamination;** 0
  - Other lattice systematics, like discretization effects, non-physical pion mass, finite volume effects ... 0

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

Y. Su, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 991 (2023); R. Zhang, et al., Phys. Lett. B 844 (2023); *X. Ji, et al.*, 2410.12910 [hep-ph]

J. Holligan and H. W. Lin, Phys. Lett. B 854 (2024)

Existing calculations of the nucleon PDFs still deviate from the global analyses, which is possibly due to the

![](_page_8_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_17.jpeg)

# Coulomb Gauge Method

• Define a quasi distribution in CG without Wilson line: X. Gao,

$$\tilde{f}_{CG}^{0}(y, P^{z}, \mu) = P^{z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{iz(yP^{z})} \frac{1}{2P^{t}} \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0}(z) \Gamma \psi_{0}(0) |_{z}$$

- Why choose CG?
  - $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = 0$  becomes  $A^+ = 0$  in the infinite boost, so the quasi distribution in CG belongs to the universality class in LaMET;
  - No linear divergence / linear renormalon;
  - Simplified renormalization  $\bar{\psi}_0(z)\Gamma\psi_0(0) = Z_{\psi}(a)\left[\bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma\psi(0)\right];$
  - Larger off-axis momenta (3D rotational symmetry).

#### The results in CG and GI are consistent with the same lattice setup.

![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

X. Gao, W. Y. Liu and Y. Zhao, PRD 109 (2024)

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Lattice Setup for Nucleon Calculation

- 2+1 flavor HISQ ensemble by HotQCD with volume  $L_s \times L_t = 48^3 \times 64$ ; 0
- Lattice spacing is a = 0.06 fm; 0
- Pion mass of sea quark:  $m_{\pi}^{\text{sea}} = 160 \text{ MeV};$
- **Pion mass of valence quark:**  $m_{\pi}^{\text{val}} = 300 \text{ MeV}$ ; 0
- **Off-axis**  $(\vec{n} = (1,1,0))$  hadron momenta: 2.43 GeV and 3.04 GeV; 0
- Statistics for each lattice correlator: 553 (configs)  $\times$  256 (inversions)  $\times$  2 (±*z* directions) = 283,136; 0
- Gauge fixing criterion: variation of functional satisfies  $\delta F/F < 10^{-8}$ . 0

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_12.jpeg)

### Ground State Fit

• Ratio of three-point and two-point correlators

$$R\left(t_{\text{sep}},\tau\right) = \frac{C_{3\text{pt}}(t_{\text{sep}},\tau)}{C_{2\text{pt}}(t_{\text{sep}})} = \frac{\sum_{n,m} z_n O_{nm} z_m^{\dagger} \cdot e^{-E_n \left(t_{\text{sep}}-\tau\right)} e^{-E_m \tau}}{\sum_n z_n z_n^{\dagger} \cdot \left(e^{-E_n t_{\text{sep}}} + e^{-E_n (L_t - t_{\text{sep}})}\right)}} \xrightarrow{t_{\text{sep}}, \tau, (L_t - t_{\text{sep}}) \to \infty} O_{00}$$

o Feynman-Hellmann (FH) inspired Method C. Bouchard, et al., Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017)

$$\operatorname{FH}\left(t_{\operatorname{sep}},\tau_{\operatorname{cut}},dt\right) \equiv \frac{\sum_{t=\tau_{\operatorname{cut}}}^{t=t_{\operatorname{sep}}+dt-\tau_{\operatorname{cut}}} R\left(t_{\operatorname{sep}}+dt,t\right) - \sum_{t=\tau_{\operatorname{cut}}}^{t=t_{\operatorname{sep}}-\tau_{\operatorname{cut}}} R\left(t_{\operatorname{sep}},t\right)}{dt} \xrightarrow{t_{\operatorname{sep}},\tau, (L_t-t_{\operatorname{sep}})\to\infty} O_{00}$$

#### The ratio fit and FH fit are consistent.

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Cancellation of excited-state contamination.** 

 $\chi^2$ /d.o.f. < 1.2 of FH Fit

#### Keep generating more tsep...

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Non-perturbative Renormalization

- 0 defined as  $\bar{\psi}_0(z)\Gamma\psi_0(0) = Z_{\psi}(a)\left[\bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma\psi(0)\right];$
- Thus, we adopt the hybrid scheme as below, which does not introduce IR effects in the non-perturbative region: 0

$$\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}\left(z,P^{z},z_{s}\right)=N\frac{\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^{0}\left(z,P^{z},a\right)}{\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^{0}(z,0,a)}\theta\left(z_{s}-\left|z\right|\right)+N\frac{\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^{0}\left(z,P^{z},a\right)}{\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^{0}\left(z_{s},0,a\right)}\theta\left(\left|z\right|-z_{s}\right),$$

where  $N = \tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^0(0,0,a)/\tilde{h}_{\Gamma}^0(0,P^z,a)$  and  $a \ll z_s \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{OCD}}$ 

0

#### Because of the absence of Wilson line, the CG correlation is free from linear divergence, the renormalized operator can be

X. Ji, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 964 (2021)

Note that  $\tilde{h}^0_{\Gamma}(z,0,a)$  has real part only, which is used to renormalize both the real and imaginary parts of  $\tilde{h}^0_{\Gamma}(z, P^z, a)$ .

#### The scheme dependence will be cancelled by the hybrid-scheme matching kernel that relates the quasi-PDF to the PDF.

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Fourier Transform

- Ο fluctuations after Fourier transform;
- 0
- Since quasi-PDF (in moderate x) is insensitive to the extrapolation strategies, the non-fit extrapolation is adopted here: 0

The CG matrix elements decay to zero while the error bars remain almost constant, making the FT easy to be under control. Ο

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

Due to the statistical uncertainty, the quasi-PDF in the large  $\lambda = zP^z$  has a finite error bar, which will introduce unphysical

X. Gao, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022)

Because of the finite correlation length, the quasi-PDF in coordinate space should decay exponentially in the large z region ( $z \sim 1$  fm);  $\tilde{h}^{ext} = w \cdot \tilde{h} + (1 - w) \cdot 0$ , where the weight w(z) linearly decays from 1 to 0 within two red dashed lines below.

![](_page_13_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Matching to PDFs

The matching formula for the hybrid-scheme quasi-PDFs 0

$$f(x,\mu) = C\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{P^z}{\mu}, z_s\right) \otimes \tilde{f}\left(y, \frac{P^z}{\mu}, z_s\right) + O\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{(xP^z)^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{((1-x)P^z)^2}\right)$$

- The matching kernel is calculated using both 0
  - the fixed-order NLO perturbation theory ( $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ ) 0
  - renormalization group resummed (RGR) NLO perturbation theory (evolve from  $\mu \sim 2xP^z$  to  $\mu = 2$  GeV) 0
- The scheme dependence on  $z_s$  is cancelled by the matching. 0

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

Y. Su, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 991 (2023);

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Unpolarized Quark Isovector PDF of Proton**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 0
- 0 and the helicity PDFs, which provides encouraging evidence for the efficacy of the CG method;
- 0
- 0 renormalization of the imaginary part of quasi PDF matrix elements, which exist in GI method as well;
- This work also serves as an examination of universality in LaMET. 0

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

The fixed-order and RGR matchings show an aligned behavior at moderate x, where LaMET can make reliable prediction;

Comparing with the NNPDF results, CG method gives a consistent prediction on the valence part of both the unpolarized

The small deviation of the valence part might be caused by the excited-state contamination and other lattice systematics;

The deviation of  $(q + \bar{q})/2$  from the NNPDF results may be caused by systematics from excited-state contaminations and

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_15.jpeg)

16

# Helicity Quark Isovector PDF of Proton

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 0
- 0 and the helicity PDFs, which provides encouraging evidence for the efficacy of the CG method;
- 0
- 0 renormalization of the imaginary part of quasi PDF matrix elements, which exist in GI method as well;
- This work also serves as an examination of **universality** in LaMET. 0

The fixed-order and RGR matchings show an aligned behavior at moderate x, where LaMET can make reliable prediction;

Comparing with the NNPDF results, CG method gives a consistent prediction on the valence part of both the unpolarized

The small deviation of the valence part might be caused by the excited-state contamination and other lattice systematics;

The deviation of  $(\Delta q - \Delta \bar{q})/2$  from the NNPDF results may be caused by systematics from excited-state contaminations and

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Summary

- This is the first lattice calculation of the proton PDFs using the CG method; 0
- 0 **distribution**, while the slight deviations are likely due to the excited-state contaminations;
- 0 state contaminations and renormalization;
- 0

Our results for both the unpolarized and helicity PDFs show encouraging agreement with NNPDF at moderate x of valence

The imaginary part corresponds to the distribution of  $(q + \bar{q})/2$ , which is likely more sensitive to systematics from excited-

We are increasing our lattice statistics at larger source-sink separations to further control the excited-state effects.

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Gauge Fixing in Lattice QCD

### **Continuous Theory**

$$F_{\text{CG}}[A,\Omega] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^4 x A^a_{\Omega\mu}(x) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega}(x)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta F_{\text{CG}}[A,\Omega] &= -\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x (D^{\Omega}_{\mu ab}\theta_{b}) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega} \\ &= -\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x (\partial_{\mu}\theta_{a} - gf^{cab}A^{c}_{\Omega\mu}\theta_{b}) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x \theta_{a} (\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu a}_{\Omega}) \end{split}$$

$$*A_{\Omega\mu}(x) \equiv \Omega^{\dagger}(x)A_{\mu}(x)\Omega(x) + \frac{i}{g}\Omega^{\dagger}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Omega(x)$$

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

Lattice Theory  

$$F_{\text{CG}}[U,\Omega] \equiv -\Re \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \Omega^{\dagger}(x+\hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(x) \Omega(x) \right]$$

Find stationary points of the functional value.

 $A^{\mu a}_{\Omega}$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Gribov Copies

### • The gauge fixing condition may have many solutions in Lattice QCD.

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ph. D. Thesis of Diego Fiorentini

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Criteria of Gauge Fixing

#### • Variation of the functional

### o Residual gradient of the functional

$$\theta^{G} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x} \theta^{G}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \Delta^{G}(x) \left( \Delta^{G} \right)^{\dagger}(x) \right] \\ * \Delta^{G}(x) \equiv \sum_{\mu} \left( A^{G}_{\mu}(x) - A^{G}_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu}) \right)$$

Different Gribov copies can be distinguished by the difference of functional values  $\Delta F$ .

### $\delta F/F < 10^{-8}$

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Coulomb Gauge Method

Define a quasi correlator in CG without Wilson line, which belongs to the universality class in LaMET: 0

$$\tilde{f}_{CG}^{0}(y, P^{z}, \mu) = P^{z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{iz(yP^{z})} \frac{1}{2P^{t}}$$

- Why choose CG? 0
  - CG becomes light-cone gauge in the infinite boost 0
  - **No linear divergence / linear renormalon** 0
  - Simplified renormalization  $\bar{\psi}_0(z)\Gamma\psi_0(0) = Z_{\psi}\left[\bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma\psi(0)\right]$ Ο
  - Larger off-axis momenta (3D rotational symmetry) 0

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

X. Ji, Y. S. Liu, Y. Liu, J. H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, RMP 93 (2021)

 $\frac{1}{z} \langle P | \bar{\psi}_0(z) \Gamma \psi_0(0) |_{\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = 0} | P \rangle$ 

![](_page_22_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)